

## Personnel Committee Meeting Minutes of April 14, 2023

- I. CALL TO ORDER at **1:03 PM**
- II. [ROLL CALL](#)  
**Present:** Ashmita Ahluwalia, Arazeli Barragan, Jilian Manlapaz, Ashley Medeiros, James Carroll, Michael Cesena  
  
**Absent:** Martin Castillo
- III. ACTION ITEM - **Approval of the Agenda**  
**Motion** to approve the agenda of April 14, 2023, by **J. Manlapaz**, seconded by **A. Medeiros**, motion **CARRIED**.
- IV. ACTION ITEM - **Approval of the Minutes of March 22, 2023**  
**Motion** to approve the minutes of March 22, 2023, by **J. Manlapaz**, seconded by **A. Medeiros**, motion **CARRIED**.
- V. PUBLIC COMMENT ó **Public Comment is intended as a time for any member of the public to address the committee on any issues affecting ASI and/or the California State University, East Bay.**  
No public comment.

**A. Barragan** discusses the Cultural Graduation Funding Appeal to the Personnel Committee.

**Motion** to approve the Cultural Graduation Funding Appeal by **ALL**, motion **CARRIED**.

**8:14**

VII. NEW BUSINESS ITEMS:

A. DISCUSSION ITEM: [Policy on Students Serving on University Committee](#)

**A. Barragan** states the Club & Orgs Student Funding Policy states. "ōpq"nguu"yj cp"cp" cecf go le"vgto "cpf "pq"o qtg"yj cp"qpg" { gctō"Vj g"cecf go le"vgto "ku"eqo kpi to an end, so I dgrkxg'kū'hck"ulpeg"yj g{ 'f k pō'eqo o wplecvg'vq'us, they had changed their event.

**A. Medeiros** asks does the policy state they have to use our branding.

**A. Barragan** states yes, Club & Orgs Student Funding Policy states. "ōcm'cf xgtvugo gpw'hqt" CUKmpf gf "gxgpw'o wuv'j cxg'er r tqr tlcvg"CUKqi q"qp'vj go ō

**A. Medeiros** ucvgu'Kdgrkxg'kū'hck"v'fko k'vj gk'pgz v'gxgpvCUKlr qpuqt 'dgecvug'kū'wpergt" in the email what they

**A. Ahluwalia** asks how much we wanted to cut.

**A. Barragan** asks how much we wanted to cut.

**M. Cesena** asks if the penalty is a one-time standing for this request. Or is it for the term? Does that mean they can ask the following week for the remaining amount? Or does that defeat the purpose of the penalty?

**A. Barragan** asks if the penalty is a one-time standing for this request. Or is it for the term? Does that mean they can ask the following week for the remaining amount? Or does that defeat the purpose of the penalty?

**M. Cesena** asks if the penalty is a one-time standing for this request. Or is it for the term? Does that mean they can ask the following week for the remaining amount? Or does that defeat the purpose of the penalty?

**J. Carroll** states when I was looking at the policy, the funding for clubs would end today to meet the 30-day window. One caveat is they have the appeal process.

**A. Barragan** states I believe they would not have enough time to appeal before their event because they would have to get it on as an item.

**J. Carroll** states they would have to appeal the decision made before the Board of Directors. It appears this decision would be final unless it was brought up as an appeal to the Board.

**A. Barragan** asks how much percentage of the dollar amount is.

**A. Ahluwalia** states a percentage would be a consistent way to go about it.

**A. Barragan** asks did you have an idea of what percentage?

**A. Ahluwalia** asks did you have an idea of what percentage?

**A. Barragan** asks did you have an idea of what percentage?

**A. Ahluwalia** states no, they would get 25%.

**M. Cesena** states I feel that 10% is the bare minimum. We should refuse it after you pass the 50% point. If they do not have money for this event and we provide 25%, they may be unable to hold it. It would be somewhere between 10 and 50. I believe 50% is the server, and it gives ownership to the organization that we're supporting half of their event and the other half as a penalty for failing to fulfill the request in the past. I feel that punishing an organization of more than 50% is damaging to the event and not practical. We still want them to hold the event, but we need to communicate the gravity of the offense.

**A. Medeiros** states I believe 50% is good considering they are collaborating with another org. I believe that gives them a good amount of money to put on their event and they have time to figure out how to handle it.

**A. Barragan** asks are you all in favor of 50%? Yes, James, can I email the club after this with all the information?

**J. Carroll**

**A. Barragan** would give them a meeting, we will discuss and take action.

**41:23**

VIII. SPECIAL REPORTS:

No special reports.

**41:28**

IX. ROUND TABLE REMARKS

**M. Cesena** states the referendum will be voted on next week. If you haven't signed up for tabling on Monday, Tuesday, or Wednesday, we'd want you to do so.

**A. Barragan** asks for any round table remarks.

**42:07**

X. ADJOURNMENT at **1:45 PM**

Minutes reviewed by:

**Executive Vice President/Chief of Staff**

Name:

Personnel Committee Meeting Minutes of April  
14, 2023.