Long-Term ILO Assessment Plan Proposal (DRAFT3-5/12/14)

ILO AssessmenCycle—Phase 1

This proposal presents cyclical plan for institutional level assessment of our Cal State East Bay institutional learning outcomes (Os

piloting a rubric for that ILO. Year 2 will be spewbrking with faculty to develop and align class assignments, collecting student artifacts, applyg the rubric to the artifacts and analyzing the results Year 3 will be spent disseminating the results and implementing closing the activities.

The chart below outlines this plan, with "ILO X" representing the particular ILO being as in that 3 year cycle

Year 1		Year 2	Year 3
1. Develop rubric in Fl		1. Assessment Working	1. Implement cloising the loop
)Groups develop assignments	
for ILO x.		` ' '	- 1f(s(順元) s2 /P <
		ımittee,ILO	
2. Pilot rubrics across Subcom			2. Synthesize recent CAPR
•		nent Working Groups	annual and 5/ear review
	using ru	bric developed in year	reports for evidence of closing
	one.		the loop on ILO x
AssessmethWorking G	•		
		ct and post samples	3. Prepare for next threæar
_		work for ILO x	assessment lodpr ILO x.
•		based on call from	
		committee(See	
a	attache	d call.)	
	1 0		
		uct focus groups on	
		cular effects on ILO x	
		thering: students;	
anaiysis		: ILO Subcommittee).	
		5. Subcommittees and	
		working groups eport	
		assessment results, analysis	
		and closing the loop recommendations to CAPR	
		and CIC	
		and OiC	

AssessmenSchedule-Phase 1

Start Year	ILOs	WASC Core Competencies
2012-2013	Critical thinking	Critical thinking
20132014	Writing Diversity	Writing

The General Education Subcommittee of CIC is responsible for developing and implementing the assessment plan for the GE learning outcomes. It is recommended that the long term plan should be to require courses that have GE approval be required to adignosing mentand submit student artifacts for GE learning outcomes that are aligned to ILOs on a schedule similar to that described above for ILO assessment.

ILO AssessmentCycle—Phase II

Phase 1 plans were created based on structures and ideas that extended uring the Critical Thinking Assessment Project, which began in 2012. Similarly, Phase tiences will be used to develop a second general assessment loop. It is likely that Phase 2 will use similar committee structures, activities ime lines, to. However, Phase 1 is a discovery process in a number of ways, and we may find that we need something very different for Phase 2.